

Environmental Policy Act, and the Administrative Procedures Act. The lead plaintiffs were the Sierra Club and the Wilderness Society, representing nine co-plaintiffs. A Settlement Agreement was signed on September 13, 1993 and the U.S. District Court has issued an order directing implementation of the Settlement Agreement.

The key component of the Settlement Agreement was a commitment by the Forest Service to begin the process of revising the Clearwater Forest Plan within 18 months of the settlement agreement being signed to provide long-term resolution between the parties. The settlement agreement was signed on September 13, 1993.

The Settlement Agreement also states that the current Forest Plan will remain in effect during the revision process but implementation would be modified using four interim measures. These interim measures provide additional direction related to the implementation of projects and activities in certain roadless areas, timber harvest, old growth and water quality.

Following the settlement agreement, the Clearwater National Forest began gathering background information necessary to begin the revision process. However, since September 1993, several other related Forest Service planning efforts have been initiated that involve the Clearwater National Forest. Most notable is the "Upper Columbia River Basin, Environmental Impact Statement" (UCRB), which will address issues relevant to the Clearwater National Forest and likely result in changes to Forest Plans in the UCRB. Notice of this effort and supporting information was previously published in the **Federal Register** on December 4, 1994.

The purpose of the Upper Columbia River Basin, EIS is to " \* \* \* develop and analyze a scientifically sound, ecosystem-based strategy for management of lands administered by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Forest Service.

\* \* \* The strategy will modify existing Forest Plans and will focus on forest, rangeland, and aquatic/riparian ecosystem health and the sustainability of threatened, endangered, and sensitive species." Clearly this effort will have a profound influence on the revision process for the Clearwater National Forest. Therefore, the revision schedule for the Clearwater National Forest is designed to coordinate with the information and decisions produced by the UCRB, EIS. As part of the revision schedule separate notices will go out for

scoping, comments on the DEIS, and comments on the FEIS.

During the next 12-18 months, while the UCRB, EIS is in preparation, the Clearwater National Forest will continue to gather data and information, and conduct assessments of resource conditions to better frame the revision process. Tribal governments, state or federal agencies or the public are invited to send comments regarding their ideas concerning information or data that the Clearwater National Forest can be gathering and assessing during this 12-18 month period.

**DATES:** Comments concerning resource assessments or data gathering in support of the Clearwater Forest Plan revision, should be received in writing by 90 days following the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. A supplemental notice will be placed in the **Federal Register** announcing the beginning of formal scoping for the DEIS with an opportunity to comment following completion of the UCRB, EIS.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments concerning this proposal to James L. Caswell, Forest Supervisor, Clearwater National Forest, 12730 US Highway 12, Orofino, Idaho 83544.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Doug Gochmour, Forest Planning Staff Officer or Harry Jageman, Acting Revision Team Leader, 12730 US Highway 12, Orofino, Idaho 83544, phone (208) 476-4541.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Preliminary tasks that have been identified for assessment and data gathering include: Land type descriptions, social/economic, old growth, watersheds, recreation, forest health and fire history. In general, these assessments will be conducted at a smaller, more localized scale than will occur for the entire UCRB.

Revision scoping meetings are tentatively planned for Moscow, Lewiston, Orofino, and Kooskia, in Idaho and Missoula Montana. Specific dates, times and locations will be announced in local newspapers of general distribution. These scoping meetings will begin following the completion of the UCRB, EIS presently scheduled for summer, 1996. The projected dates for the DEIS and FEIS will be posted in the **Federal Register** at a later date.

The alternatives considered in the revision of the Clearwater Forest Plan will be consistent with decisions made in the UCRB, EIS. The purpose and need, preliminary issues, and the scope of the Clearwater Forest Plan revision, will be further described in a separate **Federal Register** notice at a later date.

The responsible official for the revision of the Clearwater Forest Plan will be the Regional Forester, Northern Region, P.O. Box 7669, Missoula, Montana.

**David F. Jolly,**

*Regional Forester.*

[FR Doc. 95-5567 Filed 3-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[Order No. 730]

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board; Approval of Export Processing Activity; Upstate Precision Mfg., Inc. (Office Furniture Systems) Within Foreign-Trade Zone 54, Clinton County, NY

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, § 400.28(a)(2) of the Board's regulations, requires approval of the Board prior to commencement of new manufacturing/processing activity within existing zone facilities;

Whereas, the Clinton County Area Development Corporation, grantee of FTZ 54, Clinton County, New York, has requested authority under § 400.32(b)(1) of the Board's regulations on behalf of Upstate Precision Mfg., Inc. (UPMI), to manufacture modular furniture panels for export within FTZ 54 (filed 11-23-94, FTZ Docket A(32b1)-4-94; Doc. 7-95, assigned 2/21/95);

Whereas, pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1), the Commerce Department's Assistant Secretary for Import Administration has the authority to act for the Board in making such decisions on new manufacturing/processing activity under certain circumstances, including situations where the proposed activity is for export only (§ 400.32(b)(1)(ii)); and,

Whereas, the FTZ Staff has reviewed the proposal, taking into account the criteria of § 400.31, and the Executive Secretary has recommended approval;

Now, Therefore, the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, acting for the Board pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1), concurs in the recommendation and hereby approves the request subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28, and subject to the further condition that all textile products admitted to the zone for UPMI shall be of U.S. origin or shall be a good originating in the territory of a NAFTA country (Sec. 202, PL 103-182, 12-8-93).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of February 1995.

**Susan G. Esserman,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Chairman, Committee of Alternates Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

[FR Doc. 95-5562 Filed 3-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**[Order No. 734]**

**Foreign-Trade Zones Board;  
Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 21  
Charleston, SC, Area**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, an application from the South Carolina State Ports Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone No. 21, requesting authority to expand its general-purpose zone at sites in the Charleston and Georgetown Customs port of entry areas, and requesting authority to use zone procedures for the installation of foreign audio components into automobiles within FTZ 21, was filed by the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board on September 14, 1993 (Docket 50-93, 58 FR 50330, 9/27/93) (amended, 8/9/94, 49 FR 40519; and, 11/10/94, 59 FR 56034);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board has found that the requirements of the Act and the regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The grantee is authorized to expand its zone and conduct processing activity under zone procedures as requested in the application, as amended, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of February 1995.

**Susan G. Esserman,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

[FR Doc. 95-5563 Filed 3-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**[Order No. 727]**

**Foreign-Trade Zones Board; Grant of  
Authority for Subzone Status;  
Smithkline Beecham Corporation  
(Pharmaceuticals) Bristol, TN**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, by an Act of Congress approved June 18, 1934, an Act "To provide for the establishment . . . of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) is authorized to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved;

Whereas, an application from the Industrial Board of Blount County, Tennessee, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 148, for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the pharmaceutical manufacturing plant of SmithKline Beecham Corporation, in Bristol, Tennessee, was filed by the Board on November 8, 1993, and notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (FTZ Docket 55-93, 58 FR 61065, 11-19-93); and,

Whereas, the Board has found that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby authorizes the establishment of a subzone (Subzone 148A) at the plant site of SmithKline Beecham Corporation, in Bristol, Tennessee, at the location described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of February 1995.

**Susan G. Esserman,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration Alternate Chairman Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

Attest:

**John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-5561 Filed 3-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**International Trade Administration,  
Commerce**

**Export Trade Certificate of Review**

**ACTION:** Notice of Issuance of an Export Trade Certificate of Review, Application No. 94-00007.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce has issued an Export Trade Certificate of Review to Florida Citrus Exports, L.C. This notice summarizes the conduct for which certification has been granted.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** W. Dawn Busby, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, 202-482-5131. This is not a toll-free number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR Part 325 (1993).

The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Secretary of Commerce to publish a summary of a Certificate in the **Federal Register**. Under Section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

**Description of Certified Conduct**

*Export Trade*

1. *Products*  
Fresh citrus.
2. *Services*  
Inspection, quality control, marketing and promotional services.
3. *Technology Rights*  
Proprietary rights to all technology associated with Products or Services, including, but not limited to: patents, trademarks, service marks, trade names, copyrights, trade secrets, and know-how.
4. *Export Trade Facilitation Services (as they relate to the Export of Products, Services and Technology Rights)*

All export trade-related facilitation services, including, but not limited to: consulting and trade strategy; sales and marketing; export brokerage; foreign marketing research; foreign market development; overseas advertising and promotion; product research and design based on foreign buyer